

#1. Given  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d. R.V.'s, and  $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  
 $N_b := \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \leq b\}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

WTS:  $(N_b)_{b \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is a stochastic process.

Proof: Pick an arbitrary  $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have.

$$N_b = \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n \leq b\}$$

$$\Rightarrow N_b^{-1}(\{i : i > n\}) = S_n^{-1}(\{b, +\infty\}), n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = S_n$  is  $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_i / \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable  $\uparrow \mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$   
 as  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d. R.V.'s, we have.

$$S_n^{-1}(\{b, +\infty\}) \in \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_i \text{ for } \forall n.$$

$$\Rightarrow N_b^{-1}(\{i : i > n\}) \in \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_i \text{ for } \forall n$$

$$\Rightarrow N_b \text{ is } \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_i / 2^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ measurable.}$$

Since the pick of  $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$  is arbitrary, we're done.

□

#2. WTS:  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is regular.

Proof:

Let  $\mathcal{F} := \{ B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : \exists U \text{ open, } K \text{ compact, } \mu(U \setminus K) < \varepsilon, K \subseteq B \subseteq U, \text{ for } \forall \varepsilon > 0, \mu \text{ probability measure} \}$ .

Claim:  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra

①:  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$  as  $\emptyset$  is both compact & open

②: If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0, \mu, \exists U, K \text{ s.t. } \mu(U \setminus K) < \varepsilon$

$K$  compact  $\Rightarrow K^c$  open

$U$  open  $\Rightarrow U^c$  closed in  $\mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \exists \{\tilde{K}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  compact s.t.

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{K}_i = U^c$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{K}_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \tilde{K}_i\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for some } N < \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mu\left(K^c \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \tilde{K}_i\right) &\leq \mu\left(K^c \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{K}_i\right) + \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{K}_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \tilde{K}_i\right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and  $K^c \supset A^c \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^N \tilde{K}_i$ ,  $K^c$  open,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \tilde{K}_i$  compact

$\Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$

③: If  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , for fixed  $\varepsilon > 0, \mu, \exists \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \{K_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $\mu(U_i \setminus K_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+2}}$ , and  $U_i \supset A_i \supset K_i$  for  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\Rightarrow U := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$ ,  $\exists N$  s.t.  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  as  $\mu$  is finite.

$$\Rightarrow \mu\left(U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N K_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(U_i \setminus K_i) + \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$

By ①, ②, ③,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Now, fix a closed  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , define  $A_n = \{y: |y-x| < \frac{1}{n}, x \in F\}$

Also, fix a point  $x' \in F$ , define  $K_n = F \cap \bar{B}_n(x')$

$\Rightarrow$  for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0, \mu$

$$\exists N < \infty \text{ s.t. } \mu(A_N \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N K_i) < \epsilon$$

$\Rightarrow$  since  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\mu$  are arbitrary,  $F \in \mathcal{F}$

Since  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  closed is arbitrary,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

$\Rightarrow \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is regular.

□

#3. Given  $C_{b_1, \dots, b_n}(B) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : (x_{b_1}, \dots, x_{b_n}) \in B\}$

WTS:  $\{C_{b_1, \dots, b_n}(B) : n < \infty, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\} =: \mathcal{G}$  is an algebra.

①.  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\mathbb{R}^T = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : (x_{b_1}, \dots, x_{b_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \in \mathcal{G}.$$

② If  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : (x_{b_1}, \dots, x_{b_n}) \in \widehat{A}\}$  for some  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  and  $\widehat{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{A}^c \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow A^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : (x_{b_1}, \dots, x_{b_n}) \in \widehat{A}^c\} \in \mathcal{G}.$$

③. Assume  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\exists \{b_{ij}\}_{j \in \{1, \dots, k(i)\}}$  and

$\widehat{A}_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{k(i)})$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , such that

$$A_i = \{x : (x_{b_{i1}}, \dots, x_{b_{ik(i)}}) \in \widehat{A}_i\}$$

$$\text{Let } \widehat{T} := \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{b_{i1}, \dots, b_{ik(i)}\} = \{b_{11}, \dots, b_{c(n)}\} \text{ for some } c(i) \in \mathbb{Z} \\ c(i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n k(j)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : (x_{b_{11}}, \dots, x_{b_{c(n)}}) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \widehat{A}_i\} \in \mathcal{G}.$$

$$(\text{If } \widehat{T} = \emptyset, \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \mathbb{R}^T \in \mathcal{G})$$

By ①, ②, ③,  $\mathcal{G}$  is an algebra.

□

#4. Given  $E := \{C_{b_1, \dots, b_n}(B_1, \dots, B_n) : n < \infty, B_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \forall i\}$ ,

$$C_{b_1, \dots, b_n}(B_1, \dots, B_n) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_{b_i} \in B_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

$\mathcal{G}$  defined as in #3.

WTS:  $\sigma(E) = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ .

( $\subset$ ): since  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \prod_{i=1}^n B_i, B_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \}$  for  $n < \infty$ ,  
we have  $E \subset \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \sigma(E) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ .

( $\supset$ ):  $\forall n < \infty, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  as  $\mathbb{R}$  is separable.  
 $= \sigma\left(\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n B_i, B_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \right\}\right)$

Define  $\tilde{E} := \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = C_{b_1, \dots, b_n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \right) \in \sigma(E) \right\}$ .

Claim:  $\tilde{E}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra as  $\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^{\infty} \in \tilde{E}$

②. If  $A \in \tilde{E}$ ,  $A^c = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c$

Since  $C_{b_1, \dots, b_n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c \right) \in \sigma(E)$ ,  $A^c \in \tilde{E}$

③. If  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \tilde{E}$ ,  $A_i = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{ij}$  for  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$

Since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{b_1, \dots, b_n} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{ij} \right) \in \sigma(E)$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \tilde{E}$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{E}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\tilde{E} \supset \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$\Rightarrow \sigma(\tilde{E}) \supset \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \sigma(\tilde{E}) \supset \sigma(\mathcal{G})$

By ( $\supset$ ), ( $\subset$ ), we're done

□

#5. Define  $\mathcal{F}_T := \sigma\{C(b_1, \dots, b_n, B) : b_1, \dots, b_n \in T\}$ ,  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

WTS:  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{\substack{T \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{countable}}} \mathcal{F}_T$ .

(C): Claim:  $\bigcup_{\substack{T \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{countable}}} \mathcal{F}_T$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra

①. Since  $\forall T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_T$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \in \mathcal{F}_T$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \in \bigcup_{\substack{T \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{countable}}} \mathcal{F}_T$  (denoted by  $\bigcup_T \mathcal{F}_T$  below)

②. If  $A \in \bigcup_T \mathcal{F}_T$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$  for some  $T$   
 $\Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}_T \Rightarrow A^c \in \bigcup_T \mathcal{F}_T$

③. If  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \bigcup_T \mathcal{F}_T$ , then  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_{T_i}$  for each  $i$ .  
 Let  $\tilde{T} = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty T_i$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{T}} \subset \bigcup_T \mathcal{F}_T$  as  $\tilde{T}$  is countable.

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_T \mathcal{F}_T$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra

Since  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma\{C(b_1, \dots, b_n, B), n \in \mathbb{N}, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$

and  $\bigcup_T \mathcal{F}_T \supset \{C(b_1, \dots, b_n, B), n \in \mathbb{N}, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \bigcup_T \mathcal{F}_T$

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  countable be arbitrary, then  $\exists \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ s.t. } \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty T_n = T \\ \{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ s.t. } \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty B_n = B. \end{array} \right.$

$\Rightarrow C(T, B) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty C(T_n, B_n)$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset C(T, B) \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset \mathcal{F}_T$

Since  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  countable is arbitrary,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset \bigcup_T \mathcal{F}_T$   
 By (C), ( $\Leftarrow$ ), we're done.



#6. WTS:  $\exists (W)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  indep characteristic functions.

Proof:

$$\textcircled{1}. \text{ Define } H_k^{(n)}(x) := \begin{cases} 2^{(n-1)/2} & \frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq x < \frac{k}{2^n} \\ -2^{(n-1)/2} & \frac{k}{2^n} \leq x < \frac{k+1}{2^n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$S_k^{(n)}(x) := \int_0^x H_k^{(n)}(u) du, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad n \geq 0, \quad k \in I(n).$$

$$I(n) = \{2k+1, 0 \leq k \leq \frac{2^n-1}{2}\}.$$

$$B_{\mathcal{B}}^{(n)} := \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k \in I(m)} \xi_k^{(m)} S_k^{(m)}(x), \quad \text{where } \{\xi_k^{(m)}\}_{\substack{m \in \{0, \dots, n\} \\ k \in I(m)}}$$

is defined as i.i.d. standard normal.

$\textcircled{2}$ . Claim:  $B_{\mathcal{B}}^{(n)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} B_{\mathcal{B}}(w)$  uniformly in  $x$  for a.e.  $w \in \Omega$ .

Proof: defined  $b_n := \max_{k \in I(n)} |\xi_k^{(n)}|$

$$\Rightarrow P(|\xi_k^{(n)}| > x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_x^{\infty} e^{-u^2/2} du \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_x^{\infty} \frac{u}{x} e^{-u^2/2} du \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(b_n > n) = P\left(\bigcup_{k \in I(n)} \{|\xi_k^{(n)}| > n\}\right) \leq 2^n P(|\xi_k^{(n)}| > n) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{2^n e^{-n^2/2}}{n}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n e^{-n^2/2}}{n} < \infty \Rightarrow \exists \tilde{\omega}_0; P(\tilde{\omega}_0) = 1 \text{ s.t. if } w \in \tilde{\omega}_0 \text{ we have}$$

by Borel-Cantelli  $\exists n(w) \text{ w/ } b_n(w) \leq n, \text{ if } n \geq n(w).$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=n(w)}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in I(n)} |\xi_k^{(n)} \xi_k^{(n)}| \leq \sum_{n=n(w)}^{\infty} n 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} < \infty$$

Since absolute convergence implies convergence on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

$B_{\mathcal{B}}^{(n)}(w) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} B_{\mathcal{B}}(w)$ , if  $w \in \tilde{\omega}_0$ . for some  $B_{\mathcal{B}}$

Since  $B_{\mathcal{B}}^{(n)}(w) \in C^{(0)}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow B_{\mathcal{B}}(w) \in C^{(0)}(\mathbb{R})$  by uniform convergence.

③. Claim:  $B_b$  defined in ② is Wiener process on  $[0, 1]$ .

Proof: Pick  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 = b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_{n-1} < b_n = 1$

Pick  $\{\lambda_{j,i}^n\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}$  w/  $\lambda_{n,i} = 0$

Since  $\{s_k^{(m)}\}$ 's are iid standard normal,

$B_b^{(m)} = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k \in I(m)} s_k^{(m)}(b_i) s_k^{(m)}(b_j)$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[ \exp \left( i \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_{j,0} - \lambda_j) B_{b_j}^{(m)} \right) \right] &= E \left[ \exp \left( -i \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k \in I(m)} s_k^{(m)} \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_{j,i} - \lambda_j) s_k^{(m)}(b_j) \right) \right] \\ &= \prod_{m=0}^n \prod_{k \in I(m)} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_{j,i} - \lambda_j) s_k^{(m)}(b_j) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_{j,i} - \lambda_j) (\lambda_{i,i} - \lambda_i) K(N) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $K(N) = \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{k \in I(m)} s_k^{(m)}(b_i) s_k^{(m)}(b_j) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \delta_{i,j}$

$$\begin{aligned} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ \exp \left( i \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (B_{b_j} - B_{b_{j-1}}) \right) \right] &= E \left[ \exp \left( -i \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_{j,i} - \lambda_j) B_{b_j} \right) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=j+1}^n (\lambda_{j,i} - \lambda_j) (\lambda_{i,i} - \lambda_i) \delta_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_{j,i} - \lambda_j)^2 \delta_j \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_{j,i} - \lambda_j) (-\lambda_{j,i}) \delta_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_{j,i} - \lambda_j)^2 \delta_j \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_{j,i}^2 - \lambda_j^2) \delta_j - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_n^2 \delta_n \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_j^2 (b_j - b_{j-1}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since characteristic functions determine distribution, we have

$B_j - B_{j-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, b_j - b_{j-1})$  independent  $\Rightarrow B$  is Wiener on  $[0, 1]$

Since we can find  $B_b$  on  $[k, k+1]$  if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we're done  $\square$



#7. WTS:  $(X_b)_{b \in [0,1]}$  uncountable family of i.i.d. R.V.'s w/ non-degenerate distribution, then  $(X_b)_{b \in [0,1]}$  has no continuous modification.

Proof: (By contradiction)  $(X_b)_{b \in [0,1]}$  is an uncountable family,  
 $\exists b \in [0,1]$  s.t.  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists s \in (b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon)$  and  $X_s \in (X_b)_{b \in [0,1]}$ .

Pick a sequence  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  from  $\{b \in [0,1] : X_b \in (X_b)_{b \in [0,1]}\} =: \Pi$   
 s.t.  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = b$ ,  $b_i \neq b$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $(X_b)_{b \in [0,1]}$  are i.i.d. w/ non-degenerate distribution

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(X_b) = \sigma^2 \neq 0 \text{ for } \forall b \in \Pi.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|X_{b_i} - X_b\|_{L^2(\mathcal{P})} = \geq \sigma^2 \neq 0 \text{ by i.i.d.}$$

Now, assume  $\exists \tilde{X}$  s.t.  $\left. \begin{array}{l} P(\tilde{X}_b \neq X_b) = 0, \forall b \in \Pi \\ |\tilde{X}_b - \tilde{X}_s| < C|b-s| \text{ a.s.} \end{array} \right\}$

Let  $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{\tilde{X}_{b_i} \neq X_{b_i}\} \cup \{\tilde{X}_b \neq X_b\}$ . w/  $P(N) = 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{X}_{b_i} = \tilde{X}_b \text{ P-a.s.}$$

$$\Rightarrow E \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\tilde{X}_{b_i} - \tilde{X}_b|^2 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } E \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\tilde{X}_{b_i} - \tilde{X}_b|^2 &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E |\tilde{X}_{b_i} - \tilde{X}_b|^2 \stackrel{\text{apply D.C.T.}}{\text{as } |\tilde{X}_{b_i} - \tilde{X}_b|^2} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E |X_{b_i} - X_b|^2 \leq C|b_i - b|^2 \\ &= 2\sigma^2 \neq 0 \rightarrow \leftarrow \text{(contradiction)} \end{aligned}$$

□

#8. WTS: If  $X: [0,1]^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $E|X_s - X_t|^\alpha \leq C|s-t|^{d-\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta, C > 0$ , if  $s, t \in [0,1]^d$ , then  $\exists$  a continuous modification of  $X$  on  $[0,1]^d$ .

Proof:

① Define  $s \prec t$   $(s_1, \dots, s_d) \prec (t_1, \dots, t_d) \Leftrightarrow s_i \leq t_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$

Let  $L_n := \left\{ \frac{k}{2^n} : k \in \{0, \dots, 2^n-1\} \right\}^d, n \geq 1, L := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n$

Define  $N_n(s) := \{t \in L_n : s \prec t, \|t-s\|_{\infty} = 2^{-n}\}, s \in L_n$

② For  $s \in L_n, t \in N_n(s)$ , by Chebyshev's Ineq: if  $\delta \in (0, 1)$

$$P(|X_t - X_s| \geq 2^{-\delta n}) \leq \frac{E|X_t - X_s|^\alpha}{(2^{-\delta n})^\alpha} \leq C 2^{-n(d+\beta-\alpha\delta)}$$

as  $\|t-s\| \leq 2^{-n}$  and  $E|X_t - X_s|^\alpha \leq C\|t-s\|^{d-\beta}$ .

$$\Rightarrow P\left(\max_{\substack{t \in L_n \\ t \in N_n(s)}} |X_t - X_s| \geq 2^{-\delta n}\right) \leq d C 2^{-n(\beta-\alpha\delta)} \text{ as } |N_n(s)| = d.$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n(\beta-\alpha\delta)} < \infty \Rightarrow \exists \tilde{\omega} \text{ w/ } P(\tilde{\omega}) = 1 \text{ s.t. if } \omega \in \tilde{\omega}$$

$$\exists N(\omega) \text{ s.t. } \max_{\substack{t \in L_n \\ t \in N_n(s)}} |X_t(\omega) - X_s(\omega)| < 2^{-\delta n},$$

for  $n \geq N(\omega)$

③ Define  $R_n(s) := \{t \in L_n : s \prec t, \|t-s\|_{\infty} = 2^{-n}\}$

$\Rightarrow$  for  $s \in L_n, t \in R_n, \exists s = s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m = t$  w/  $m \leq d$

and  $s_i \in N_n(s_{i-1}), i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

$$(*) \Rightarrow \max_{\substack{t \in L_n \\ t \in R_n(s)}} |X_t(\omega) - X_s(\omega)| \leq d 2^{-\delta n}, \text{ if } n \geq N(\omega), \omega \in \tilde{\omega}$$

④. For fixed  $w \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ ,  $n \geq N(w)$ , from (\*) we obtain

$$|X_b(w) - X_s(w)| \leq 2d \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+1} 2^{-\sigma_j}$$

Now, assume,  $|X_b(w) - X_s(w)| \leq 2d \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} 2^{-\sigma_j}$ ,  $\forall m \in \{n+1, \dots, M-1\}$ .

Let  $b, s \in L_M$ ,  $s < b$ .  $\exists \tilde{s} \in L_{M-1} \cap R_M(s)$   
 $\tilde{b} \in L_{M-1} \cup \{b \in R_M(\tilde{b})\}$

$$s < \tilde{s} < \tilde{b} < b$$

$$\Rightarrow |X_{\tilde{s}}(w) - X_s(w)| \leq d 2^{-\sigma_M} \text{ and } |X_{\tilde{b}}(w) - X_b(w)| \leq d 2^{-\sigma_M}$$

$$\Rightarrow |X_{\tilde{b}}(w) - X_{\tilde{s}}(w)| \leq 2d \sum_{j=n+1}^M 2^{-\sigma_j}$$

$\Rightarrow \forall s, b \in L \cup \{b \in L \text{ and } 0 < \|b-s\|_\infty \leq 2^{-N(w)}\}$ ,

pick  $n \geq N(w)$  s.t.  $2^{-(n+1)} \leq \|b-s\|_\infty < 2^{-n}$

$$\Rightarrow |X_b(w) - X_s(w)| \leq 2d \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-\sigma_j} \leq \frac{2d}{1-2^{-\delta}} \|b-s\|_\infty^\delta$$

Since  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  &  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}$  are equivalent on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we have.

$$(**) |X_b(w) - X_s(w)| \leq C \|b-s\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^\delta \text{ for some } C \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since our choice of  $w \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$  is arbitrary,

⑤. Define  $\tilde{X}_b(w) := \begin{cases} X_b(w) & \text{if } b \in L \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow b} X_s(w) & \text{if } b \notin L \end{cases}$  (note:  $L$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ).

By (\*\*)  
 $\Rightarrow \tilde{X}_\cdot(w)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\forall w \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$  (a.e.).

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For } b \in L, \tilde{X}_b = X_b \text{ a.s.} \\ \text{For } b \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus L, \tilde{X}_b = \lim_{s \rightarrow b} X_s \text{ a.s.} \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow P(\tilde{X}_b \neq X_b) = 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For } b \in L, \tilde{X}_b = X_b \text{ a.s.} \\ \text{For } b \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus L, \tilde{X}_b = \lim_{s \rightarrow b} X_s \text{ a.s.} \end{array} \right.$$

□

#9. WTS:  $E|X_b - X_s| \leq C|b-s|$  is not sufficient for a continuous modification.

Proof: Let  $\tau$  s.t.  $P(\tau \leq b) = 1 - e^{-b}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ .

$$\text{Define } X_b := \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq b\}}.$$

$\Rightarrow$  Assume w.o.l.g. that  $b > s$ ,  $b, s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E|X_b - X_s| &= E(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \in (s, b]\}}) = P(\tau \in (s, b]) \\ &= (1 - e^{-b}) - (1 - e^{-s}) = e^{-s}(1 - e^{-(b-s)}) \\ &\leq |b-s| \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $\tilde{X}$  is a modification of  $X \Rightarrow \forall b, P(\tilde{X}_b \neq X_b) = 0$ .

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, P(\tau^{-1}(b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon)) > 0$$

$$\tilde{X}_b = X_b \text{ on } \tau^{-1}(b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon) \Rightarrow \{0, 1\} \subset \tilde{X}_{(b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon)}(\omega).$$

for  $\forall \omega \in \tau^{-1}(b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon)$ .

$\Rightarrow \tilde{X}_b$  is not continuous in  $b$

Since our choice of  $\tilde{X}_b$  is arbitrary, we are done.

□

#10. WTS:  $\exists$  Poisson counting process.

Poisson means a discrete distribution

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be i.i.d. standard Poisson:  $\text{Poi}(t) = e^{-t}$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$

Define  $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is called an exponential density.

$$N_t := \max \{n: S_n \leq t\}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

①. Since  $X_i$ 's are <sup>(positive)</sup> non-negative a.s.  $\Rightarrow S_n$  is non-decreasing a.s.

$\Rightarrow N_t$  is non-decreasing a.s. by design.

②.  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $N_t$  is piece-wise constant a.s.

$$N_t \equiv n \text{ on } [S_n, S_{n+1})$$

where  $X_{n+1} > 0$  a.s.  $\Rightarrow [S_n, S_{n+1}) \neq \{S_n\}$  a.s.

$\Rightarrow N_t$  is right continuous.

③. Since  $X_i > 0$  a.s., the jumps of  $N_t$  has value 1 a.s.

Now, by the memorylessness of  $X_i$ 's ( $P(X > b+x) = P(X > b)P(X > x)$ ) and the independence of  $X_i$ 's, we have if  $z$  starts at  $t$

$$P(Z > z | N(t) = n, S_n = t) = P(X_{n+1} > z+t-t | X_{n+1} > t-t) = P(X_{n+1} > z)$$

Pick  $0 = t_0 < t_1, \dots, t_n = t \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have: (next page)

$$N_z - N_t = N_{z-t} \Rightarrow \text{Increments stationary.}$$

$z$  is independent of  $N_{b_2}, N_{b_2} - N_{b_1}, \dots, N_{b_n} - N_{b_{n-1}}$

Let  $b_{n-1} > b \Rightarrow N_{b_{n-1}} - N_b$  is independent of  $N_{b_1}, \dots, N_{b_n} - N_{b_{n-1}}$

$\Rightarrow$  Increments are independent.

Also, by construction  $S_n$  is poisson w/  $f_{S_n}(t) = \frac{b^{n-1} e^{-b}}{(n-1)!}$

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P_{N_b}(i) = \int_0^b f_{S_n}(t) dt$$

$$P_{N_b}(i) = \frac{b^n e^{-b}}{n!}$$

$\Rightarrow (N_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is poisson counting process satisfying ① ② ③

□

#11. WTS:  $\hat{X} \in (\mathbb{R}^{\omega})^2$  is not Gaussian but has marginal distribution that is Gaussian.

$$\text{Let } \hat{X} := (X, Y)^T \text{ w/ } P_{XY}(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{y^2}{\sigma_y^2}\right)\right), & xy > 0 \\ 0, & xy \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow P_X(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P_{XY}(x, y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^0 P_{XY}(x, y) dy \mathbb{1}_{\{x \leq 0\}} + \int_0^{+\infty} P_{XY}(x, y) dy \mathbb{1}_{\{x > 0\}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{\sigma_x^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$P_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_y} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{y^2}{\sigma_y^2}\right) \text{ similarly.}$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{X}$  is not Gaussian but has marginal Gaussian distribution.

#12.  $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{C})$  is a  $d$ -dim Gaussian vector,  $\mathbf{z} := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}$ ,  
 where  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ ,

WTS:  $\mathbf{z}$  is a Gaussian vector  $\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}, \tilde{\mathbf{C}})$

Proof:

Since  $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{C})$ , we have  $\forall \vec{d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have.

$\vec{d} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$  is Gaussian (by #13).

Now,  $\forall \vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{n} \cdot \mathbf{z} &= \sum_{i=1}^n n_i z_i = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \sum_{j=1}^d A_{ij} Y_j = \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \sum_{i=1}^n n_i A_{ij} \right) Y_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d (A^T \vec{n})_j Y_j = (A^T \vec{n}) \cdot \mathbf{Y}, \quad A^T \vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \forall \vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{n} \cdot \mathbf{z}$  is Gaussian as  $(A^T \vec{n}) \cdot \mathbf{Y}$  is Gaussian

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{z}$  is Gaussian.

□

$$E\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow E\mathbf{z} = E(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}) \stackrel{\text{by linearity}}{\downarrow} = \mathbf{A}E\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T) = \mathbf{C} &\Rightarrow E(\mathbf{z}\mathbf{z}^T) = E(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T\mathbf{A}^T) \stackrel{\text{by linearity}}{\downarrow} = \mathbf{A}E(\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T)\mathbf{A}^T \\ &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^T)$$



#13. WTS:  $X$  is Gaussian  $\Leftrightarrow \forall b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\langle b, X \rangle$  is Gaussian.

( $\Rightarrow$ ): If  $X$  is Gaussian, let  $a = EX \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $C := E(X-EX)(X-EX)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , we have the characteristic function for  $X$ :

$$(*) \quad \varphi_X(\alpha) = E e^{i\alpha^T X} = e^{i\alpha^T a - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^T C \alpha} = e^{i\alpha^T a - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha^T C \alpha)}$$

Pick  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$  arbitrarily, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\langle b, X \rangle}(\tilde{\alpha}) &= E e^{i\tilde{\alpha} \langle b, X \rangle} = E e^{i \langle \tilde{\alpha} b, X \rangle} \\ &= e^{i(\tilde{\alpha} b)^T a - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\alpha} b)^T C (\tilde{\alpha} b)} \quad \text{by } (*) \\ &= e^{i\tilde{\alpha} (b^T a) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\alpha}^2 (b^T C b)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle b, X \rangle \sim \mathcal{N}(b^T a, b^T C b) \quad \forall b \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ): If  $\forall b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\langle b, X \rangle$  is Gaussian, we have:

$$(**) \quad \varphi_{\langle b, X \rangle}(\tilde{\alpha}) = E e^{i\tilde{\alpha} \langle b, X \rangle} = e^{i\tilde{\alpha} a_b - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\alpha}^2 \sigma_b^2} \quad \text{where}$$

$$\begin{cases} a_b = E \langle b, X \rangle \\ \sigma_b^2 = E (\langle b, X \rangle - E \langle b, X \rangle)^2 = E \langle b, X - EX \rangle^2 = E \sum_{i=1}^d b_i (X_i - EX_i) \sum_{j=1}^d b_j (X_j - EX_j) \\ = E b^T (X - EX)(X - EX)^T b = b^T (E (X - EX)(X - EX)^T) b \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_X(\alpha) = E e^{i\alpha^T X}, \quad \text{let } \alpha = \tilde{\alpha} b, \quad b := \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\tilde{\alpha}}, \frac{\alpha_2}{\tilde{\alpha}}, \dots, \frac{\alpha_d}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right)^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{by } (**) &= E e^{i\tilde{\alpha} \langle b, X \rangle} = e^{i\tilde{\alpha} E \langle b, X \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\alpha}^2 b^T (E (X - EX)(X - EX)^T) b} \\ \text{where } &\begin{cases} \tilde{\alpha} E \langle b, X \rangle = E \langle \tilde{\alpha} b, X \rangle = \langle \alpha, EX \rangle \\ \tilde{\alpha}^2 b^T K b = (\tilde{\alpha} b)^T K (\tilde{\alpha} b) = \alpha^T K \alpha \end{cases} \quad \text{!! } K \text{ positive semi-definite} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow X \sim \mathcal{N}(EX, K)$$

□

#14. WTS:  $(\dots): \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is positive semi-definite.  
 $(s, t) \rightarrow snt$

Proof: Pick  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  arbitrarily, and pick  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  arbitrarily.

Let  $(b_i, b_j)_{i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} = (b_i \wedge b_j)_{i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$

Since  $b_i \wedge b_j = \langle \mathbb{1}_{[0, b_i]}, \mathbb{1}_{[0, b_j]} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ ,

let  $I := (\mathbb{1}_{[0, b_1]}, \dots, \mathbb{1}_{[0, b_n]})^T$ , we have:

$$(b_i, b_j)_{i, j} = \langle I_i, I_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} =: E(I I^T)$$

Now, for  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d^T (b_i, b_j)_{i, j} d &= d^T E(I I^T) d = E(d^T I I^T d) \\ &= E(d^T I)^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow (b_i, b_j)_{i, j}$  is positive semi-definite  $n \times n$  matrix

Since our choice of  $n$ ,  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  are arbitrary,

we conclude  $(\dots): \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is positive semi-definite.  
 $(s, t) \rightarrow snt$

□

#15: WTS:  $e^{-(t-s)}$  is positive semi-definite

Proof: define  $(f_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  by  $f_t(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} e^{-x+t} & , x \geq t \\ 0 & , x < t \end{cases}$

Then, assume WOLH  $t > s$ , we have:

$$\langle f_t, f_s \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_t(x) f_s(x) dx = \int_t^{\infty} f_t(x) f_s(x) dx$$

change variable:  $y = x + s - t \Rightarrow$

$$= \int_s^{\infty} f_t(y) f_s(y + t - s) dy$$

$$= \int_s^{\infty} 2 e^{-2y + 3s - t} dy = e^{3s - t} e^{-2s}$$

$$= e^{s - t} = e^{-(t-s)}$$

Now, pick  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  arbitrary,  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$

we have  $(C)_{ij} = e^{-|t_i - t_j|}$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$a^T C a = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i a_j C_{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i a_j \langle f_{t_i}, f_{t_j} \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_{t_i}, \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f_{t_j} \right\rangle_{L^2}$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_{t_i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \geq 0$$

Since our choices of  $n$ ,  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , and  $a$  are arbitrary, we're done.

□

#16. Given  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(a, C) \in (\mathbb{R}^a)^d$ ,  $C$  is non-singular.

WTS: ①  $F_X(x)$  is absolutely continuous and

$$\textcircled{2} P_X(x) = \frac{1}{(\det C)^{1/2} (2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle C^{-1}(x-a), (x-a) \rangle}$$

Proof: Let  $N := (N_1, \dots, N_d)^T$ , where  $N_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  i.i.d.  $\forall i$ .

$$\Rightarrow P_N(n) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} n_i^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} n^T n}, \text{ where } n^T n = \langle n, n \rangle$$

Now,  $C$  is positive-definite (non-singular)

$$\Rightarrow C = O^T D O, \text{ where } O^T O = I, D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \\ = (\sqrt{D} O)^T (\sqrt{D} O)$$

Let  $\hat{X} := X - a$ ,  $\hat{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, C)$

$$\text{But } \begin{cases} E(\sqrt{D} O)^T N = 0 \\ E(\sqrt{D} O)^T N (\sqrt{D} O)^T N^T = O^T \sqrt{D} E N N^T \sqrt{D} O = C \end{cases} \Rightarrow \hat{X} = (\sqrt{D} O)^T N$$

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow |dF_X(x)| = P_X(x) |dx| \leq |dx| = |d\hat{X}| \leq \det(\sqrt{D} O)^T |dn|$$

Hence  $F_X(x)$  is absolutely continuous wrt. Lebesgue measure.

②. Since  $C$  is non-singular,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} := \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_d}})$  is well-defined.

$$\Rightarrow N = [(\sqrt{D} O)^T]^{-1} \hat{X} = (O^T \sqrt{D})^{-1} \hat{X} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} O^T \hat{X}$$

Since  $P_X(x) |dx| = P_N(n) |dn|$ , we have:

$$P_X(x) = \frac{|dn|}{|dx|} P_N\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} O^T \hat{X}\right) = |\sqrt{D} O|^{-1} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} O^T \hat{X}\right)^T \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} O^T \hat{X}\right)} \\ = \frac{1}{|D|^{1/2} (2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \hat{X}^T C^{-1} \hat{X}} = \frac{1}{|C|^{1/2} (2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle C^{-1}(x-a), (x-a) \rangle}$$

□

$$\#17. \textcircled{1}. \quad E |X_s - X_t|^2 = E X_s^2 + E X_t^2 - 2E X_s X_t \\ = r(s,s) + r(t,t) - 2r(s,t) \leq |s-t|^2 \quad (*)$$

If  $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is Gaussian w/  $r(t,t) \equiv 0$ ,  $r(s,t)$  satisfying  $(*)$ , then by Kolmogorov-Chentsov,  $\exists$  a continuous  $\tilde{X}_t$  and  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P(\tilde{X}_t \neq X_t) = 0$ .

Now, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\forall (t_1, \dots, t_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$X_{t_1, \dots, t_n} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (r(t_i, t_j))_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}})$$

$$\text{Let } N_{t_1, \dots, t_n} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{ \tilde{X}_{t_i} \neq X_{t_i} \} \Rightarrow P(N_{t_1, \dots, t_n}) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{X}_{t_1, \dots, t_n} = X_{t_1, \dots, t_n} \text{ p-a.s.} \Rightarrow \tilde{X}_{t_1, \dots, t_n} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{t_1, \dots, t_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{X}_{t_1, \dots, t_n} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (r(t_i, t_j))_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}})$$

Since it's true for  $\forall n$ ,  $\forall (t_1, \dots, t_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,

$\tilde{X}$  is Gaussian and continuous.

□

#17. (2). Fernique's sufficient condition for continuity of paths.

Let  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ , be Gaussian w/  $\begin{cases} a(t) = E(X_t) \\ r(s, t) = \text{Cov}(X_s, X_t) \end{cases}$

stationary:  $a(t) \equiv 0$ ,  $r(s, t) = r(s+t, t+t)$ , if  $\tau, s, t \begin{cases} s+t \in \mathbb{T} \\ t+t \in \mathbb{T} \end{cases}$   
 $\uparrow$  strictly stationary.

Let  $R(t) := \max\{\sqrt{r(s, s)} : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

$$\text{w/ } \int_0^1 \frac{R(t)}{t \sqrt{\log t^{-1}}} dt < \infty$$

(Then  ~~$X_t(\omega) \in C^{(0)}(\mathbb{T})$~~  for  $\omega$ ).

Nice,  
but:

There is a continuous  
modification.

One can easily cook a  
discontinuous modification of any  
continuous process, keeping  $Q(t)$   
and  $r(s, t)$  intact

#18. Given  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  a Gaussian vector

WTS:  $E(x_0 | x_1, \dots, x_n) = c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i$  for some  $(c_0, \dots, c_n)$

Proof: Let  $\tilde{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$

$E\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T$  is positive semi-definite  $\Rightarrow E\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T = D^* D_{\tilde{x}} 0$

where  $D_{\tilde{x}} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$

If  $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  s.t.  $\lambda_i = 0$ , we delete  $x_i$  from  $\tilde{x}$

$\Rightarrow$  obtaining  $\tilde{x}$  s.t.  $0 \notin \text{spectrum}(E\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T)$

Note:  $\lambda_i = 0 \Rightarrow \exists v \in E_{\lambda_i}(E\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^T)$  s.t.  $E(v^T \tilde{x})^2 = E v^T \tilde{x} \tilde{x}^T v = 0$

$\Rightarrow x_i \in \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\}$

Now, let  $\hat{x} := E x_0 + C_{x_0 \tilde{x}} C_{\tilde{x}}^{-1} (\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})$

where  $\begin{cases} C_{x_0 \tilde{x}} := E(x_0 - E x_0)(\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})^T \\ C_{\tilde{x}}^{-1} := (E(\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})(\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})^T)^{-1} \end{cases}$

$\Rightarrow E(\hat{x} - E x_0)(\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})^T = E C_{x_0 \tilde{x}} C_{\tilde{x}}^{-1} (\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})(\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})^T$

$= C_{x_0 \tilde{x}} C_{\tilde{x}}^{-1} C_{\tilde{x}} = E(x_0 - E x_0)(\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})^T$

$\Rightarrow E(x_0 - \hat{x})(\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})^T = 0 \Rightarrow x_0 - \hat{x}$  &  $\tilde{x}$  are uncorrelated.

$\text{span}(\tilde{x}^T) = \text{span}(x_1^T) \Rightarrow x_0 - \hat{x}$  &  $x_1$  are uncorrelated.

Since  $(x_0 - \hat{x}, x_1^T)$  are jointly Gaussian  $\Rightarrow x_0 - \hat{x}$  &  $x_1$  are independent.

$\Rightarrow E(x_0 - \hat{x}) f_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \forall j$

$\Rightarrow E(x_0 | x_1, \dots, x_n) = \hat{x} = E x_0 + C_{x_0 \tilde{x}} C_{\tilde{x}}^{-1} (\tilde{x} - E \tilde{x})$

$= c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i, \quad c_i = 0 \text{ for } x_i \in \{x_1 \setminus \tilde{x}\} \quad \square$

#19.9. WTS: standard Ornstein-Uhlenbeck has a continuous modification

Proof: X the standard Ornstein-Uhlenbeck

$$\begin{aligned} E |X_t - X_s|^{2\alpha} &= 3 \left( E |X_t - X_s|^2 \right)^{\alpha} \quad \text{since } X_t - X_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, e^{-\lambda(t-s)}) \\ &= 3 \left[ E (X_t^2 - 2X_t X_s + X_s^2) \right]^{\alpha} \\ &= 3 \left[ 2(1 - e^{-\lambda(t-s)}) \right]^{\alpha} \\ &\leq 12 |t-s|^{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Now, apply Kolmogorov-Chentsov w/  $\alpha = 4$   
 $\beta = 1$   
 $C = 12$ .

$\Rightarrow \exists$  continuous modification for standard Ornstein-Uhlenbeck





#19.  $\textcircled{D}$ . WTS.  $E(X_4 | X_1, X_2, X_3)$

Since  $X$  is Gaussian w/ mean 0, we have from #18 that

$$E(X_4 | X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0 + C_{X_4 \tilde{X}} C_{\tilde{X}}^{-1} \tilde{X}^T, \text{ where } \tilde{X} := (X_1, X_2, X_3).$$

$$C_{X_4 \tilde{X}} = (C(X_4, X_1), C(X_4, X_2), C(X_4, X_3)) \\ = (e^{-3}, e^{-2}, e^{-1})$$

$$C_{\tilde{X}} = (e^{-|i-j|})_{i,j \in \{1,2,3\}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-1} & e^{-2} \\ e^{-1} & 1 & e^{-1} \\ e^{-2} & e^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Cramer's rule, we find:

$$C_{\tilde{X}}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-2e^{-2}+e^{-4}} \begin{pmatrix} 1-e^{-2} & e^{-3}-e^{-1} & 0 \\ e^{-3}-e^{-1} & 1-e^{-4} & e^{-3}-e^{-1} \\ 0 & e^{-3}-e^{-1} & 1-e^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(X_4 | X_1, X_2, X_3) = (e^{-3}, e^{-2}, e^{-1}) C_{\tilde{X}}^{-1} \tilde{X}^T$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-2e^{-2}+e^{-4}} \left( X_1(e^{-3}-e^{-5}+e^{-5}-e^{-3}) + X_2(e^{-6}-e^{-4}+e^{-2}-e^{-6}+e^{-4}-e^{-2}) \right. \\ \left. + X_3(e^{-5}-e^{-3}+e^{-1}-e^{-3}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-1}-2e^{-3}+e^{-5}}{1-2e^{-2}+e^{-4}} X_3$$

#20. WTS: If  $(X_t)_{t \in \pi}$  centered Gaussian process s.t. for  $t > s$   
 $X_t - X_s$  is independent of  $\sigma(X_r, r \leq s)$ ,  $\exists$  a nondecreasing  
 $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  s.t.  $X$  has the same  $f$ -dot as  $Y := W \circ f$

Proof:

$(X_t)_{t \in \pi}$  centered Gaussian process  $\Rightarrow$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists a(t) := \mathbb{E} X_t, \forall t \in \pi \\ \exists C(t, s) := \text{Cov}(X_t, X_s), \forall t, s \end{array} \right.$

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \subset \pi$ , we have:

$$X_{t_1 \dots t_n} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, K_{t_1 \dots t_n}), \quad K_{t_1 \dots t_n} := \mathbb{E} X_{t_1 \dots t_n} X_{t_1 \dots t_n}^T$$

$$X_t - X_s \perp \sigma(X_r, r \leq s) \Rightarrow K_{t_1 \dots t_n} = (\text{Cov}(X_{t_i}, X_{t_j}))_{i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \\ = (\text{Var } X_{t_i \wedge t_j})_{i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}.$$

Now, define  $f(t) := C(t, t)$ , since  $Y = W \circ f$ , we have:

$$Y_{t_1 \dots t_n} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tilde{K}_{t_1 \dots t_n}), \quad \tilde{K}_{t_1 \dots t_n} := \mathbb{E} Y_{t_1 \dots t_n} Y_{t_1 \dots t_n}^T$$

$$\text{Since } W \text{ is Wiener} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} Y_{t_1 \dots t_n} Y_{t_1 \dots t_n}^T = (f(t_i) \wedge f(t_j))_{i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}.$$

$$\text{But if } t > s, f(t) = C(t, t) = \text{Var } X_t = \text{Var } X_s + \text{Var } X_{t-s} \geq \text{Var } X_s = f(s)$$

$$\Rightarrow f \text{ is non-decreasing} \Rightarrow f(t_i) \wedge f(t_j) = f(t_i \wedge t_j) = C(t_i \wedge t_j, t_i \wedge t_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow K_{t_1 \dots t_n} = (\text{Var } X_{t_i \wedge t_j})_{i, j} = (C(t_i \wedge t_j, t_i \wedge t_j))_{i, j} = \tilde{K}_{t_1 \dots t_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow X_{t_1 \dots t_n} = Y_{t_1 \dots t_n}$$

Since,  $n, (t_1 \dots t_n)$  are arbitrarily chosen, we're done. □

#21. (b). wts.  $\exists$  P.P.P w/ leading measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $\sigma$ -finite).

Proof: since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\exists \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  s.t.

$$\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i = \mathbb{R}^d, \quad U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset \text{ (if } i \neq j), \quad \mu(U_i) < \infty \text{ if } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

①. For chosen  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $(N_k^i)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d. s.t.  $P(N_k^i \in A) = \frac{\mu(A \cap U_i)}{\mu(U_i)}$

②. Let  $M^i \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu(U_i))$ , and independent of  $(N_k^i)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$   
 $\Rightarrow P(M^i > b) = e^{-b} \mu(U_i)$

③. Define  $(X_A^i)_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  s.t.  $X_A^i = \sum_{k=1}^{M^i} \mathbb{1}_{\{N_k^i \in A\}}$

$\Rightarrow (X_A^i)_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  is P.P.P w/ leading measure  $\mu|_{U_i}$

Now, define  $(X_A)_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  if  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $X_A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_A^i$

$\Rightarrow (X_A)$  is P.P.P w/ leading measure  $\mu$

by the superposition of Poisson process and

the fact that  $U_i$ 's are disjoint and  $\mu = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu|_{U_i}$ .

□

#21.  $\odot$ . WTS:  $\exists$  white noise w/ leading measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$

Define  $w_i(A) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mu(A \cap V_i))$ ,  $\mu(V_i) < \infty$ .

$$\text{Cov}(w_i(A), w_i(B)) = \mu(A \cap B \cap V_i)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Since  $\text{Cov}(w_i(A), w_i(B))$  is positive semi-definite,

$$\text{Let } (w_i)_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \text{ w/ } \begin{cases} E(w_i(A)) \equiv 0 \\ C_i(A, B) = \mu|_{V_i}(A \cap B) \end{cases}$$

If  $n$ ,  $\forall A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j C_i(A_i, A_j) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{I}_{A_i} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (w_i)_{A_1, \dots, A_n} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (C_i(A_i, A_j))_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}})$$

$\Rightarrow (w_i)_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  is Gaussian

Now, since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite,  $\exists \{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  s.t.  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i = \mathbb{R}^d$

s.t.  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $\mu(V_i) < \infty$ ,  $\forall i$ .

$\Rightarrow (w_i)_A$  is Gaussian w/ leading measure  $\mu|_{V_i}$

$$\Rightarrow w := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_i, \quad Ew = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0$$

$$C(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu|_{V_i}(A \cap B) = \mu(A \cap B)$$

Therefore  $(w)_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  is Gaussian w/ leading measure  $\mu$ .

□

2/12/1